

On the case study of sustainability of scientific thinking: a book review «Dialogues»

Olga A. Bulavko 

BOOK REVIEW

Doctor of Economics, Professor
Samara State University of Economics, Samara, Russian Federation
E-mail: Vikigor163@mail.ru

JEL codes: R11, R58, O31

DOI: 10.52957/2782-1927-2024-5-1-82-86

For citation: Olga A. Bulavko. (2024). On the case study of sustainability of scientific thinking: a book review «Dialogues». *Journal of regional and international competitiveness*, 5(1), 82.

The «Dialogues» [1] is very unusual in the form of content presentation among books on economic issues.

Firstly, the form of content presentation is very interesting. The «Dialogues» includes four parts. The authors have a kind of prophetic style of discussing.

The authors see tomorrow as having already come. This author's approach allows them to make the content complicated as a focus of methodological scientific knowledge.

The essence of the dialogues is the discussion of scenarios for the further civilization development, and the role of Russia in the future world. Indeed, the book «Dialogues» allows us to have precious knowledge about the ideal being, the desire to compare this ideal being with the Russian reality.

The genre of «Dialogues» is a phenomenon of scientific thought. It forms unique Russian philosophy – the philosophy of revelation. This philosophy is a link in the mechanism of formation of the noosphere; the basis of noospherism theory. «Dialogues» is an attempt of two scientists to find the words possible to show the role of social sciences in formation of strategic decision maker consciousness.

The authors try to solve the problem above. At the same time, «Dialogues» is a conversation between people achieved public recognition: both are Honored Scientists of the Russian Federation; both are members of many Russian and foreign scientific public academies; both were honoured with honorary degrees. For instance, A.I. Subetto is a laureate of the Government Prize in Education; V.V. Chekmarev is a winner of the Golden Names of the Higher School of Russia.

These authors analyze long-term socio-economic trends. According to S. Glazyev, the new world economic structure will be based on technical and economic centers. A country aspiring to become one of the central powers needs new relocators. Hence, A.I. Subetto formulates many laws; he is Pavel Florensky ideas follower, and believed that «the Russian concept of the word «law» is not legal and is almost equivalent to Plato's «idea». The law is not a norm of behaviour, but a norm of being itself. Therefore, a crime is a crime – exit beyond «the limits of the norm of human existence, which is essentially inherent in it» [1]. At the same time, traditional laws prescribed the help of one's, and the reliability of the word, thereby creating the possibility of informal but sustainable business practices.

The «Dialogues» is a story with a sequel. This is a book containing thoughts from different years on different objects of discussion.

In their opinion, dialogue is better than a monologue expresses the content of investigation. A.I. Subetto's genesis of capitalocracy is a scientific discovery.

The authors were not afraid to show the readers their views and concepts of different years.

The search for ways to overcome the unipolar mainstream in assessing the phenomena and processes of the beginning of the XXI century in the philosophical and economic scientific support of the noospheric model of society development was the source of dialogues by the authors; it was implemented over more than twenty years of joint creative collaboration of the philosopher-economist A.I. Subetto and the political

economist V.V. Chekmarev. Dialogues published at different times on the pages of various journals are a kind of community consisting of two doctors of sciences. They consider the necrosphere (the total set of artificially created things), and the noosphere (the future environment of human existence).

The book is a monograph of the dialectical method use in understanding the fundamental problems of the society development. The authors contradictory reconsider the dialectic of productive forces and industrial relations, major principles of scientific research, development of their theoretical and practical aspects, the product form appropriation, and the conditions of its implementation.

Indeed, interviewees respect each other, and transcend their worldview through a three-dimensional image of political and economic reality.

The book is recommended for people who are striving to self-actualisation, self-development under the motto «New Time – New Man – New Opportunities in the Noospheric Future», as well as trying to get an answer to the question of which economic model should be chosen instead of capitalism in the future.

Today, the main threat to capitalism is desynchronisation of the main factors of production. The format of the «labour» factor exceeded the format of the «land» factor, levelled the «capital» factor in its usual meaning and became a hidden threat to the preservation of economic reality. Capitalism changed its essence and began to expand the factors of production and resources in all available ways.

According to «Dialogues» authors, the main threat to the further development of capitalism is the factor of «labour», i.e. an excess of human resources. In order to preserve itself in the new format, capitalism will reduce the population (and is already reducing it), and the main goal of neo-capitalism is to try to prolong its life by destroying and emerging Russia.

Therefore, the dilemma arises: to survive, capitalism must emerge resources of Russia; Russia, in order to survive, must reconsider all the relations with capitalism. Moreover, people engaged in the production of all material goods want to reconsider capitalism paradigm in favour of Russia. Otherwise, Russian oligarchs and entrepreneurs are against of it. Many economists should wonder why the Central Bank of the Russian Federation is artificially weakening the national currency. However, the Central Bank of the Russian Federation is ruining the country's economy, because it is beneficial to oligarchs and commodity producers, since a strong rouble reduces their incomes.

There is an issue of management of economics in Russia and in the world in a whole.

It is a kind of challenge, especially for the classical economists. Indeed, they do not want to see that the former economy simply does not exist, and discuss the secondary issues, for instance, the economy efficiency. All the basic economic laws collapsed: they were not laws anymore, but rules for the redistribution of property formed by government structures. However, economists of various levels do not want to admit this issue.

To understand its nature, it is necessary to understand and recognize the economic agents of different levels are the members of the global economic community.

Economic agents are divided strictly by consumption levels. At the first level is the population of the global economy, involved in consumption only (elderly, children, etc.). These are passive economic agents, since they are consumers of the manufactured product. Other economic agents are divided into levels according to their role: producers of goods, distributors, – managers, financial agents, etc.

Therefore, we can observe a somewhat strange economic pyramid, at the top of which there are financial agents.

Nowadays, most people understand that the industrialisation of productive forces and industrial relations is taking place. However, they do not want to realise the future displacement of human with high technologies. Currently, Artificial Intelligence presents in all economic relations.

The authors of the book show the economic formation change has been launched long ago, but everyone understands it in his own way, or rather according to his place and the role in it. Naturonomists consider situation in terms of human nature, economists in terms of consumption. Economics and natural economics are interrelated categories; equal parts of a single in terms of society development. However, nowadays, the economy is overconsumed. It disturbed the equilibrium, caused social deformations and social disorder.

The reasons for this phenomenon and mechanisms of these deformations are clear to both economists

and naturonomists. The authors claim naturonomists are disengaged from the establishing of the world community and politics. Hence, economists form their consumer community – capitalism, which has begun to destroy.

As a reviewer, I think this extremely relevant and formidable for many people. Nevertheless, at the very beginning of the capitalism formation, Karl Marx considered it a comfortable formation for the economic elite, a stalemate for the world community, conducting to global catastrophe as a whole. Analysing the issue, he concluded that disaster can be avoided only by achieving a higher stage of world community consciousness development – or communism (from the word «commune»). There is an interesting detail: K. Marx first considered the transition from a capitalist formation to a communist one, and as it developed, to a socialist one. Many economists have forgotten about this, or perhaps they never knew it. Moreover, the transition should be provided on a global scale – simultaneously and promptly in all developed countries.

According to «Dialogues», I believe that the rapid collapse of capitalism was delayed by Soviet Russia (USSR), which was trying to change the economic formation in one country. Indeed, the Soviet Union became a donor to dying capitalism and extended its life. Nevertheless, the prediction of K. Marx has retained its power and is being performed in a new technological format. The renewed capitalism provides the unlimited consumption of elite economic agents, and might turn the world economy into the global war.

All these events are real ones, and involve all members of the world community. Today, all members of the global economic community are involved in the development of this drama, often without even realizing it. According to the authors, to delay the final collapse for some time, there is a re-branding of capitalism. For instance, it is launching pandemics and wars, issuing appropriate instructions to economic agents of the government for a pandemic, for sanctions, for a «non-terrible» nuclear war, etc.

However, the earlier humanity (or at least experts) will realize this and understand that the process of optimizing the population has already been launched; more chances there are to escape from the crisis.

It is necessary to support the authors' ideas on changing the economic formation to socialism. Currently, it is necessary to break out of total dependence on this new capitalism. Moreover, there should be new elegant transitional form of social capitalism. It is necessary to think on it, but not to waste time. Capitalism has already launched a labour resources reduction. A.I. Subetto is the designer of the future.

We consider «Dialogues» as a new form of communication: the rhetorical one. This work includes their pre-literary publications establishing links between economics, philosophy, history, psychology, and ancient myths.

Moreover, there is an issue of interrelation of noosphere and bureaucracy and authorities.

We do not assess the negative period of civilization in the beginning-middle of the XXI century. Indeed, we note the distortion of economic time for the implementation of economic activity. We agree with V.V. Chekmarev characterising the above-mentioned distortion as «the curvature of economic time». The example of the economic time curvature from the XXI century economic relations practice could be economic sanctions.

Professor V.V. Chekmarev is a noosphericist, too. But his final thesis concerns with the inability of human relations to be provided outside the common economic space. The economic space is in connection with the limits of economic growth (resources) and development.

A.I. Subetto discusses ecological and economic challenges; V.V. Chekmarev discusses programmatic issues of geopolitics; they both consider contradictions of physical, human, and financial capital.

Therefore, «Dialogues» is a brilliant intellectual work. At the same time, understanding the dialogue between A.I. Subetto and V.V. Chekmarev is an essential work. The essence of their work is the desire to affirm the primary veracity abolishing all differences between man and cosmos, nature and God, science and religion, cultures, nations and civilizations. The authors are apologists for extracultural, synthesizing mind. For them, culture is like a fragment of the (mysterious) integrity representing a private limited manifestation of this particular integrity. Perhaps, in is the path to the universal, outlined earlier by other Russian philosophers.

However, A.I. Subetto's dialogues allow ones' to assert prevailing of messianism over the academic. His attention is focused on external effects.

Some of V.V. Chekmarev's judgments should be called «symphojaz», since they concern the energy of noospherism discovery. The idea of noospherism concerns with other ideas of socio-economic interpretation of the present humanity as a single planetary organism outside its division into races, confessions, etc. Noospherism is presented as part of the historical process of relations development in terms of Nature and Society.

The example of antinoospheric practice is the presence of huge benefits to capital exploiting the subsurface resources not to science and education development. For instance, in 2024 the fuel damper from the budget in favour of oil refinery production is almost equal to the total federal budget expenditures on education, health care, and financial support to the regions.

It is believed that fuel sales within Russia should be subsidized up to the world level (or USA oil prices). As for domestic oil and petroleum prices, it is advisable not to increase them. Indeed, it is profitable only for car owners in the Moscow agglomeration, where 62% of gasoline is sold.

The range of perceptions of noospherism extends from the mystique of capitalism's demise to the area of political conspiracy in the form of socialist noonomics. Hence, Subetto's ideas followers consider him a prophet of a philosopher who exploits the miraculous emergence of an extra-capitalist world economic order based on the ideas of noospherism without presenting mechanisms for its occurrence.

However, A.I. Subetto and V.V. Chekmarev have different manner to discuss controversial issues. A.I. Subetto uses the complicated and sophisticated scientific speech patterns. Indeed, V.V. Chekmarev expresses his opinion straightforwardly and simplistically. Therefore, they complement each other in some respects. They represent a combination of knowledge and reasoning.

The people clearly realize that they need a peaceful life, an increase in income levels, social justice, access to education, high-quality medical care, housing for young families, the authorities support, etc. Hence, people need the harmony of national existence. The book under review answers these questions.

The book states a question of human awareness on the basic laws of existence of the Universe, affecting on the main social laws, etc. The answer is obvious. However, to be limited only by knowledge of the laws of philosophy and religious canons is not enough for the harmony of modern human life. It is necessary to know social, economic, and psychological laws, world culture, religion, democracy, etc.

A.I. Subetto and V.V. Chekmarev are scientists who do not write according to the rules, but those who make these rules.

Afterword

«Dialogues» are for improving people understanding of reality. Moreover, dialogue itself is very interesting form of providing the knowledge. Therefore, musical subheadings-rhythms of «Dialogues» are designed as travelogues. «Dialogues» is the tool for spreading with extraordinary views and sincere feelings. The book includes dialogues of different years, on different topics and published in various journals and books. There are some reasons for it.

Firstly, it issued in honour of A.I. Subetto and V.V. Chekmarev jubilees.

Secondly, dialogues serve for better understanding of their self-actualisation in terms of science and knowledge.

Thirdly is the modernization of the country in a hybrid war. Our country has not only external, but also internal hostiles. The existing economic management are strongly influenced with pro-Western systemic liberal elements. They can only preserve the colonial-dependent type of economy of the Russian Federation. Nevertheless, the principle of buying only is hostile to the national industrialization. It can sabotage the Russian Federation economy.

Fourthly, some of the economists still haven't made up their minds on the issues of theoretical comprehension of reality and the development of a socialist-oriented approach to the organisation of the national economy, a resolute fight against corruption, etc. Moreover, they forgot the wartime experience on the restoration of the weak economy. Indeed, «Dialogues» suggest a lot of decisions. For instance, it could be studied in the educational sphere. We believe, the educational sphere is the most prominent and relevant in

terms of future prospects.

The book contains the dialogues for twenty years. And they are still actual ones.

We suggest they are worth to read the book. Hence, you can follow the changes of the world. Read the «Dialogues» and you will consider the words as the symbols of thoughts. Finally, I expect the authors are geniuses.

FUNDING

The study was done on a personal initiative.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Subetto A.I., Chekmarev V.V. Dialogues – St. Petersburg: Asterion, 2022. – 138 p.

Received 10.01.2024

Revised 15.01.2024

Accepted 18.01.2024